UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of Louisiana

United States of America v. Herlin Jeovanni Portillo-Gomez Defendant)) Case No. 5:17-MJ-00094-01)			
•	TION PENDING TRIAL			
ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL				
	pility for Detention			
Upon the				
☑ Motion of the Government attorney pursua☑ Motion of the Government or Court's own	ant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or a motion pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2),			
the Court held a detention hearing and found that detention and conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i)	n is warranted. This order sets forth the Court's findings of fact, in addition to any other findings made at the hearing.			
Part II - Findings of Fact and La	w as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)			
presumption that no condition or combination of con and the community because the following conditions				
•	following crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1): 8 U.S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C.			
	term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; or			
(b) an offense for which the maximum s				
Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §	m of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the § 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); or			
(a) through (c) of this paragraph, or two	convicted of two or more offenses described in subparagraphs or more State or local offenses that would have been offenses (c) of this paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to Federal on of such offenses; or			
	me of violence but involves: 'a firearm or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921);) a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; <i>and</i>			
` ,	ted of a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C. at would have been such an offense if a circumstance giving rise			
(3) the offense described in paragraph (2) about committed while the defendant was on release	ove for which the defendant has been convicted was e pending trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; <i>and</i> lapsed since the date of conviction, or the release of the			

defendant from imprisonment, for the offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.

AO 472	(Rev. 11/16)	Order of Detention	Pending Trial
AU 4/2	IXCV. 11/10)	Oraci of Determion	I Chung I mai

B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a
rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant committed one or more of the following offenses:
(1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the
Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);
(2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;
(3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed;
(4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or
(5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.
☐ C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above
The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above, and detention is ordered on that basis. (<i>Part III need not be completed.</i>)
•
OR
The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing,
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision History of violence or use of weapons
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision History of violence or use of weapons History of alcohol or substance abuse
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision History of violence or use of weapons History of alcohol or substance abuse Lack of stable employment

•	Case 5.17-IIIJ-00094-MLH DOC	unient 6 Filed 08/22/17 Page 3 01 3 Page1D #. 10
AO 472 (Rev.	11/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial	
	significant family or other ties outside	the United States
	ack of legal status in the United States	S
	ubject to removal or deportation after	serving any period of incarceration
□ P	rior failure to appear in court as order	ed
	rior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement	ent
	Jse of alias(es) or false documents	
	Background information unknown or u	
P	rior violations of probation, parole, or	supervised release
OTHER R	EASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANA	TION:
	endant waived his right to a lest a hearing on bond if his	detention hearing at this time, reserving his right to circumstances change.
Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention		
The defend	lant is remanded to the custody of the	Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative
for confine being held with defen person in o	ment in a corrections facility separate in custody pending appeal. The defe se counsel. On order of a court of t	, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences of indant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of ar
D .	Δυα 22 2017	
Date:	Aug. 22, 2017	United States 11 gistrate Judge